UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                  | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO.   |  |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--|
| 10/665,514                                       | 09/22/2003      | Marius Hauri         | 0100/0165           | 5820               |  |
| 21395<br>LOUIS WOO                               | 7590 08/24/2007 |                      | EXAM                | INER               |  |
| LAW OFFICE                                       | OF LOUIS WOO    |                      | WITCZAK, C          | WITCZAK, CATHERINE |  |
| 717 NORTH FAYETTE STREET<br>ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 |                 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER       |  |
|  | •               |                      | 3767                |                    |  |
|  |                 |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE      |  |
|  | •               |                      | 08/24/2007          | PAPER              |  |
|  |                 |                      | 00/24/2007          | PAPEK              |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Office Action Summary    The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address → Period for Reply   A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.   Extractions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In several, however, may a reply be timely filled in 110 period for reply a specified both. The time and studies priod will apply and all expires (s) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for payly septimel to reply visible the set or extended period for reply will, by taking, date of the communication, when the mailing date of the communication to become At A-DONED (58 U.S.C. § 133). Any wayly received by the Cittle short than these norties after the mailing date of the communication, when the mailing date of the communication was present term alignment. See 37 CFR 1.79(b).    Status   1)   |  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |
|---|--|--|--|
| Examiner  |  |  |  |
| Catherine N. Witczak  3767  - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIND DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extractions of time may be available under the provision of 37 GRT 11360; in no event, flower, may a reply be timely filed  1 If NO period for reply is questioned above, the maintains attation y printed vall expire 51X (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  1 Fallist or reply which the sist or extended period for right with provided by the period of the specified above, the maintains attation y printed vall expire 51X (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  1 Fallist or reply which the sist or extended period for right with provided vall reply and vall expire 51X (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  1 Fallist or reply which the sist or excented period for right with provided vall replaced to the communication.  2 Fallist or replaced by the communication (8) filed on 208 June 2007.  2 [28] This action is FINAL.  2 [29] This action is finAL.  2 [20] This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1,2.4-11,13-21 and 23-28 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is a side of the side of the provided value of the communication.  5   | Office Action Summany  |  |  |
| Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Estatebasine in the may be available under the provision of 30° FER 1.35(8) in no event. however, may a reply be timely fleet after \$1X (8) MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication. If NO periods for reply in specifical above, the maximum stateory prior will apply and set post SX (8) MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication. If NO periods for reply in specifical above, the maximum stateory prior will apply and set post by the 10 MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication. If NO periods the communication is not prior to the mailing date of this communication, even if timely fleet, may reduce any extended patent term adjustment. Set 37 CFR 1.70(b).  Status  1) ☑ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 June 2007.  2a) ☑ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☑ Claim(s) 1,2,4-11.13-21 and 23-28 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☑ Claim(s) 1,2,4-11.13-21 and 23-28 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☑ Claim(s) 1,2,4-11.13-21 and 23-28 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to .  8) ☐ The drawing(s) field on  | Office Action Summary  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under his provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In a owent, however, may a help be timely filled after 3K (6) (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fallwer to reply visible to be or controlled princed for reply will by statute, cause the application to become ASANDONED (35 U.S. C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office letter than there among after the mailing date of this communication.  Fallwer to reply visible to be or controlled princed for reply will by statute, cause the application to become ASANDONED (35 U.S. C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office letter than there among after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any status.  1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on OB June 2007.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1.2.4-11.13-21 and 23-28 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * o) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  2. Certified copies of the |  |  |  |
| WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Estandance of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CRT 1.13(6). In no event, may a reply be introfit floor did not provided the communication.  If No period for reply is specified down, the main studious period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Any reply received by the Office biter than these months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 June 2007.  2a)  This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice unider Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1, 2,4-11, 13-21 and 23-28 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1, 2, 4-11, 13-21 and 23-28 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.21(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.                               |  | ion appears on the cover sneet with  | n tne correspondence address   |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2.4-11.13-21 and 23-28 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  | WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the | ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re stion.  y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT by statute, cause the application to become AB A | ATION. ply be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2.4-11.13-21 and 23-28 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are lobjected to.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No,  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  | Status   |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1,2,4-11,13-21 and 23-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  | 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or   | n <u>08 June 2007</u> .  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1.2.4-11.13-21 and 23-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1.2.4-11.13-21 and 23-28 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | This action is non-final.  |  |
| Attachment(s)  A) Claim(s) 1.2.4-11.13-21 and 23-28 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  | 3) Since this application is in condition for  | allowance except for formal matte  | rs, prosecution as to the merits is  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1.2.4-11.13-21 and 23-28 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1.2.4-11.13-21 and 23-28 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  | closed in accordance with the practice u   | ınder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.   | 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 2, 4-11, 13-21 and 23-28 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   | Disposition of Claims  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   | 4)  Claim(s) 1.2.4-11.13-21 and 23-28 is/ard   | e pending in the application.  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) 1, 2, 4-11, 13-21 and 23-28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) cocepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   | •  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |  |
| Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 2, 4-11, 13-21 and 23-28</u> is/a   | are rejected.  |  |
| Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  | •  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  | 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction   | and/or election requirement.   |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  | Application Papers   |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |  | kaminer.   |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |  |  | y the Examiner.  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some colonomer None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the   | correction is required if the drawing(s  | s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  | 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by   | the Examiner. Note the attached  | Office Action or form PTO-152.   |
| <ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   | Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |  |
| <ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   | 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for  | foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §   | 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |
| <ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> Attachment(s)   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> Attachment(s)   | 1. Certified copies of the priority doc  | uments have been received.   |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  | 2. Certified copies of the priority doc  | uments have been received in Ap  | pplication No  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)   | <ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the</li></ol>  | ne priority documents have been i  | received in this National Stage  |
| Attachment(s)   | * *  |  |  |
|   | * See the attached detailed Office action fo   | r a list of the certified copies not r   | eceived.   |
|   |  |  | •  |
|   |  |  |  |
| A) Interview Cummery (PTO 413)  | Attachment(s)  | <b></b>  | (070.446)  |

| 1) | Notice of | References | Cited ( | PΙ | 0-892) |
|----|-----------|------------|---------|----|--------|
|    |           |            |         |    |        |

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

| ) | ∐ In | formation | Disclosure | Statement(s | ) (PTO/SB/08) |
|---|------|-----------|------------|-------------|---------------|
|   |      |           |            |             |               |

| _ | D     | A1-/-1 | /B 4 = 11 | D-4- |  |
|---|-------|--------|-----------|------|--|
|   | Paper | NOIS   | //waii    | Date |  |

| 4) [ | 」Interview Summary (PTO-413 |
|------|-----------------------------|
|      | Paper No(s)/Mail Date       |
|      | ¬ ' ' ' '                   |

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

| 6) |  | Other: |  |
|----|--|--------|--|
|----|--|--------|--|

Art Unit: 3767

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-2, 4, 9, 20-21, 23, & 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Crawford et al (US Pub. No. 2002/0161336A1). The Crawford reference discloses a safety apparatus in figures 1-14 comprising a needle hub 60 having a proximal portion and a distal portion, a needle 40 extending form a distal end of said needle hub; a collar 90 rotatably mounted directly on the distal portion of said needle hub, said collar having a first engage mechanism 97 at its inner circumferential surface; a housing 140 pivotally connected to said collar; and a needle sheath 50 having a proximal portion with a second engage mechanism 56 at its outer circumferential surface, said first and second engage mechanism fitted to each other when said sheath is fitted to said collar, said proximal portion having only one side in contact engagement to said collar for covering said needle extending from the distal end of said needle hub and said sheath is not in contact with said needle hub when said sheath is fitted to said collar and said first and second engage mechanism are engaged to each other.

With respect to claims 2 & 21, see figure 12.

With respect to claims 4 & 23, wherein second engage mechanism 56 comprises a groove and first engage mechanism 97 comprises a rib, see figures 2, 9, & 10.



Art Unit: 3767

With respect to claims 9 & 28, wherein the collar has a lock mechanism 118 and wherein the housing has an other lock mechanism 194 for coacting to fixedly retain the housing.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 8 & 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crawford et al (US Pub. No. 2002/0161336A1) as applied to claims 1 & 20 and further in view of Landis (US Patent No. 5490841). The Crawford reference discloses the claimed invention except for the overlapping housing lips with off-centered opening. Landis teaches the use of overlapping housing lips with off-centered opening in figures 9A-B & 11. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the teachings of Landis in the apparatus and method of Crawford in order to easily entrap the needle with the housing.
- 3. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crawford et al (US Pub. No. 2002/0161336A1) as applied to claims 1 & 20 and further in view of Gyure (US Patent No. 5669889). The Crawford reference discloses the claimed invention except for lock mechanisms on the collar and housing utilizing an aperture. The Gyure reference teaches lock mechanisms on the collar and housing to matingly couple to retain the housing to the collar (61,57, figure 3). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the teachings of Gyure in

Art Unit: 3767

the apparatus of Crawford in order to provide a lock mechanism for one-way safety locking to prevent reexposure of the needle after covering.

- 4. Claims 5 & 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crawford et al (US Pub. No. 2002/0161336A1) as applied to claims 1 & 20 and further in view of Johnson (US Pub. No. 2002/0010433). The Crawford reference discloses the claimed invention except for a ring spaced around the hub end for a user to grasp. The Johnson reference teaches a ring spaced around a hub end for a user to grasp (figures 2a-e). Therefore it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the teachings of Johnson in the apparatus and method of Crawford in order to facilitate connection of the hub and barrel.
- 5. Claims 6 & 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crawford et al (US Pub. No. 2002/0161336A1) as applied to claims 1 & 20 and further in view of Pressly, Sr. et al (US Patent No. 7014622 B1). Now even though Crawford does not explicitly disclose a window attention is directed to Pressly. The Pressly, Sr. et al reference teaches the use of a window as a transparent ring on a needle assembly for viewing a joint of the needle hub, see figure 10 and col. 7 lines 35-43, wherein the transparency would be deemed a window. Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the device of Crawford in view of Pressly in order to provide a window via a transparent ring in order to see if the device has been properly connected.
- 6. Claims 11, 13-17, & 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson et al (US Pub. No. 200210010433) in view of Crawford et al (US Pub. No. 2002/0161336A1) and further in view of Pressly, Sr. et al (US Patent No. 7014622 B1). The Johnson reference discloses a needle hub with a luer connector 68 and a ring 42 graspable by a user to remove the needle hub from a syringe

Art Unit: 3767

surrounding, in a spaced relation, to the luer connector, see figures 2A-F. Now even though Johnson does not explicitly disclose a collar and a needle sheath attention is directed to Crawford. The Crawford reference teaches a needle hub 60 having a luer connector and a needle 40 on the distal end of the hub; a collar 90 having a housing 140 pivotally connected thereto directly fitted to and rotatable about said distal portion of the needle hub, and a needle sheath 50 having a proximal portion with only one side in contact engagement to said collar, said needle sheath not in contact with said needle hub and removable from said collar to expose said needle for use. Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the device of Johnson with the teachings of Crawford in order to provide a shielding apparatus to help prevent accidental punctures by the needle. Now even though Johnson does not explicitly disclose a window in the ring, attention is directed to Pressly, Sr. et al. The Pressly, Sr. et al reference teaches the use of a window as a transparent ring on a needle assembly for viewing a joint of the needle hub, see figure 10 and col. 7 lines 35-43, wherein the transparency would be deemed a window. Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the device of Johnson in view of Pressly in order to provide a window via a transparent ring in order to see if the device has been properly connected. Also see rejection above with respect to Crawford.

7. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson et al (US Pub. No. 2002/0010433) in view of Crawford et al (US Pub. No. 2002/0161336A1) in view of Pressly, Sr. et al (US Patent No. 7014622 B1) as applied to claim 11 and further in view of Landis (US Patent No. 5490841). The Johnson in view of Crawford reference discloses the claimed invention except for the overlapping housing lips with off-centered opening. Landis teaches the use of overlapping housing lips with off-centered opening in figures 9A-B & 11. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in



Art Unit: 3767

the art at the time of the invention to use the teachings of Landis in the apparatus in order to easily entrap the needle with the housing.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7 & 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 11, 13, & 15-17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Applicant's arguments filed 12/18/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the Crawford reference does not disclose a collar rotatable about the needle hub, Examiner again disagrees. The Crawford reference states that the collar may be mounted to the needle hub via mechanical fit and wherein it is the examiner's position that the collar is still fully capable of being rotated about the needle hub since it is does not necessarily have to be welded or bonded onto the device. Applicant argues that Crawford does not disclose the circumferential groove and rib, the Examiner again disagrees. The helical thread and corresponding thread would both indeed disclose a rib and a circumferential groove, which would be the thread and the area between the threads respectively. Due to the lack of definition of the terms in the specification, it is the examiner's position that under the broadest reasonable interpretation the helical thread and groove is a circumferential groove and rib. Applicant argues that Landis does not teach lips "angled toward the interior of the housing with the respective angles of said lips being varied along the length of the housing to effect guide for said needle to smoothly enter into said housing at an angle through said opening", the Examiner again disagrees. The Landis reference discloses such lips in figures 9A-B & 11.



Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/665,514

Art Unit: 3767

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set

forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from

the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing

date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH

shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action

is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX

MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Catherine N. Witczak whose telephone number is (571) 272-7179. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8-5 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin

Sirmons can be reached on (571) 272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

KEVIN C. SIRMONS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Supervisory Patent Examiner

W

Art Unit: 3767

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

cw

